UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,108	10/31/2003	Michael Altenhofen	13909-055001 / 2000E00019	8924
32864 FISH & RICHA	7590 04/01/201 ARDSON, P.C.	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022	ŕ	JACKSON, JENISE E		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2439	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/01/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		108	ALTENHOFEN, MICHAEL				
		er	Art Unit				
	JENISE	E. JACKSON	2439				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reany reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF Tons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no emmunication. In statutory period will apply and ply will, by statute, cause the apples after the mailing date of this constant.	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tir will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from explication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <i>13 January 20</i>	111					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is						
, <u> </u>	, 						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21 and 23-42</u> is/are pen	Claim(s) 21 and 23-42 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>21, 23-42</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	riction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 2439

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21, 23-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Floyd et al(6,243, 692) in view of Collart(2006/0181965).
- 3. As per claims 21, 29, 36, Floyd et al. discloses software includes an application core and modules that provide functionality for the application core(see col. 1, lines 65-67, col. 2, lines 1-9); to provide a module link, the module link providing the application core access to a subset of modules, the subset dependent on the version of the content(see col. 2, lines 28-39, col. 4, lines 18-25, 33-35), wherein the software that is common across multiple versions, the version comprises one of the multiple versions, and the module link is authenticated by a code that is unique to a user(see col. 1, lines 58-67, col. 2, lines 1-4).
- 4. Floyd is silent on; however, Collart discloses a first computer system to provide course content; a second computer system to provide a content player that presents the course content; and a third computer system to identify a version of the content player that is to present the course content[0010, 0013, 0015, 0026, 0030]. It would have been obvious one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a content player of Collart with Floyd, the motivation is that the content player is used to obtain the updated content that contains the

Application/Control Number: 10/698,108

Art Unit: 2439

version of the content of the portable storage medium so that the user can access and play the content[0015, 0026 of Collart].

Page 3

- 5. As per claims 23, 37, Floyd is silent on; however, Collart discloses wherein the first computer system comprises a master repository that stores the course content[0010, 0013, 0051]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include master repository of Collart with Floyd, the motivation is that the separate storage medium is a remote server that has a local storage medium and the cached content may be subsequently reused[0013 of Collart].
- 6. As per claims 24, 30-31, 38, Floyd is silent on; however, Collart discloses wherein the content player access the content from the master repository [0010-0011]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the content player access the content from the master repository of Collart with Floyd, the motivation is that based upon the user using the player the content can be access from the master repository so that the user can have the updated version of the content[0011, 0015 of Collart].
- 7. As per claims 25, 32, 39, Floyd is silent on; however, Collart discloses wherein the content player is provided to a local computer, the local computer having access to a local repository of course content[0042]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a content player that is provided a local computer of Collart with Floyd; the motivation is that the player accesses the local cached information to get the updated content[0042 of Collart].

Art Unit: 2439

8. Same Motivation as claim 25. As per claims 26, 33, 40, Floyd is silent on; however, Collart discloses wherein the content player accesses the content from the local repository [0042].

- 9. As per claims 27, 34, 41, Floyd discloses wherein the third computer system encrypts the module link before providing the module link(see col. 4, lines 51-67).
- 10. As per claims 28, 35, 42, Floyd discloses wherein the third computer system encrypts the module link with a public key that corresponds to a user of the software(see col. 2, lines 37-41, col. 4, lines 52-63).

Response to Applicant

- 11. A non-final rejection was mailed on 9/16/10 in which claims 21, 23-42 were rejected by the Examiner. The Applicant filed a reply on 1/13/11 in which claims 21, 29, and 36 were amended by the Applicant.
- 12. The 101 rejection on claims 36-42 have been withdrawn due to the Applicant amending to overcome the 101 rejection.
- 13. The Applicant states that Floyd does not disclose or suggest, a content player that presents course content, identifying a version of the content player that is to present the course content, and providing a module link that provides access to a subset of modules, the subset dependent on the version of the content player. First, the Applicant didn't state in the previous rejection that Floyd disclosed a content player. The Examiner looks towards Collart for a content player. Collart discloses a content player because Collart discloses a network based educational system that includes a student portal providing each student on the system with a

personalized knowledge platform, a classroom interface providing an online classroom environment; an administrative portal facilitating the deployment, maintenance and updating of course materials provided on the system[0010]. Further, Collart discloses media learning objects(MLO) can be used to update current courseware. Collart discloses the MLOs allow the user to update content of the courseware that is outdated[0107].

Final Action

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENISE E. JACKSON whose telephone number is (571)272-3791. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex time, but generally in the office M-Fri(8-4:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,108 Page 6

Art Unit: 2439

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on (571) 272-7884. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

March 25, 2011

J. E. J./

Examiner, Art Unit 2439

VEdan Orgad/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2439